Application Transfer Request

Section I. TRANSFER REQUEST BY  Name PREISCH, NADINE G Date 1/3/2002  TO: Art Unit 1/07 Class/sub 382/?  REASON: Class 382 appears to belong in Tech center 2600. Gatekeeper concurrence  Section IIa. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC  NOT ACCEPTED Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier  REASON:  Section IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER  This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub / Post Classifier Date Concurring  This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Post Classifier Assessment:  Gatekeeper concurrence Post Classifier Date Date Classifier Assessment:  Gatekeeper concurrence Post Classifier Date Post Classifier Assessment:  Gatekeeper concurrence Post Classifier Date Post Classifier Date Post Classifier Assessment:  Gatekeeper concurrence Post Classifier Date Post Classifier Assessment:  Gatekeeper concurrence Post Classifier Date Post Classifier Date Post Classifier Assessment:  Gatekeeper concurrence Concurrence Post Classifier Date Post Classifier Assessment:  Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Date Class/sub / Post Classifier Date Post Classifier Date Classifier Date Classifier Member Concurring Panel Member	Form PTO-447A (Rev. 7-98)	Staple to face of Application		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE		
TO: Art Unit 1997 Class/sub 382/?  REASON: Class 382 appears to belong in Tech center 2600. Gatekeeper concurrence  Section IIa. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC  NOT ACCEPTED Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier REASON:  Section IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER  This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub / Post Classifier Date Concurring  This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper concurrence Post Classifier Date Date  Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Panel Decision: Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit Class/sub / REASON:	APPLICATION TRANSFER REQUEST FOR S.N. 09919769					
REASON: Class 382 appears to belong in Tech center 2600. Gatekeeper concurrence  Section IIa. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC  NOT ACCEPTED Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier  REASON:  Section IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER  This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub / Post Classifier Date Concurring Concurring Substitute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Post Classifier Assessment:  Gatekeeper concurrence Post Classifier Date Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Panel Decision: Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit Class/sub / REASON:						
Class 382 appears to belong in Tech center 2600.  Gatekeeper concurrence  Section IIa. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC  NOT ACCEPTED  Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier  REASON:  Section IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER  This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub / Post Classifier Date Concurring Concurring Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Post Classifier Assessment:  Gatekeeper concurrence Post Classifier Date Post Classifier Date RESOLUTION PANEL  Panel Decision: Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit Class/sub / REASON:	TO: Art Unit 1.769 Class/sub 382/?			FROM: A.	U. 1/64 Class 362	
Section IIa. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC  NOT ACCEPTED Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier  REASON:  Section IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER  This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub / Post Classifier Date  Concurring  This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Post Classifier Assessment:  Gatekeeper concurrence Post Classifier Date  Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Panel Decision: Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit Class/sub /  REASON:						
NOT ACCEPTED  Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier  REASON:  Section IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER  This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub / Post Classifier Date  Concurring  This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Post Classifier Assessment:  Gatekeeper concurrence Post Classifier Date  Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Panel Decision: Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit Class/sub /  REASON:	Gatekeeper concurrence					
REASON:  Section IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER  This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub / Post Classifier Date  Concurring  This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Post Classifier Assessment:  Gatekeeper concurrence Post Classifier Date  Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Panel Decision: Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit Class/sub /  REASON:	Section IIa. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVE	VING TC	Ву: _	A.U	Date	
Section IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER  This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub / Post Classifier Date  Concurring  This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Post Classifier Assessment:  Gatekeeper concurrence Post Classifier Date  Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Panel Decision: Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit Class/sub /  REASON:	NOT ACCEPTED  Forward to receiving TC F	ost Classifier				
This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub / Post Classifier Date Concurring   This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Post Classifier Assessment:  Gatekeeper concurrence Post Classifier Date   Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Panel Decision: Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit Class/sub /   REASON:	REASON:	-				
Concurring  This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Post Classifier Assessment:  Gatekeeper concurrence Post Classifier Date  Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Panel Decision: Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit Class/sub /  REASON:	Section IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER					
This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Post Classifier Assessment:  Gatekeeper concurrence Post Classifier Date  Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Panel Decision: Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit Class/sub/_  REASON:	This dispute was resolved. Forward to	TC/AU Class/Sub	_/	Post Classifier	Date	
Post Classifier Assessment:  Gatekeeper concurrence Post Classifier Date  Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Panel Decision: Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit Class/sub/  REASON:					Concurring	
Gatekeeper concurrence Post Classifier Date  Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Panel Decision: Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit Class/sub/  REASON:	This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISP	UTE RESOLUTION PANEL				
Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Panel Decision:  Forward To Technology Center/Art UnitClass/sub/  REASON:	Post Classifier Assessment:					
Panel Decision:  Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit Class/sub/  REASON:	Gatekeeper concurrence			Post Classifier	Date	
REASON:	Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL  Date					
	Panel Decision: Forward To Technology Center/Art Unit Class/sub/					
Conquesing Danel Mamber	REASON:					
Panel Member Concurring Panel Member	el Member					

1/3/02 5:55 PM